

CAST Research Committee														
URG EVALUATION FORM (Traditional Methodology)														
		LO				HI	YOUR SCORE	YOUR SUMS						
1. Abstract	Is the abstract clear?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	5					
2. Introduction														
	Is the Lit Review concise & relevant?	1	2	3	4	5								
	Is the problem clearly explained?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Is there a solid rationale for the project?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Is the significance to the discipline clear?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	30					
3. Objectives														
	Are the research questions/hypotheses clear?	1	2	3	4	5								
	Are the objectives measurable/observable?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	10					
4. Methodology														
	Are the procedures and design appropriate?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Participants clearly identified?	1	2	3	4	5								
	Instrumentation clearly described?	1	2	3	4	5								
	Research design clearly explained?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Data analysis appropriate?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	35					
5. Applicant's Research Program														
	Does the applicant show how the project fits into the long-range research agenda and the development of a focused line of research?	1	2	3	4	5								
6. Timeline														
	Is it appropriate, including professional outcomes?	1	2	3	4	5								
7. Scholarly Accomplishments														
	Is the potential for scholarly accomplishments from the project made clear?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	15					
8. External Funding														
	Are potential sources of external funding discussed? (must be at or above \$10,000 in potential funding)	1												
	Does the applicant list the past record in seeking and obtaining external funding?	1						0 /	2					
9. Budget Justification								0 /	10					
	Are budget items clearly justified?	2	4	6	8	10								
TOTAL SCORE								0 /	107	0%				

CAST Research Committee														
URG EVALUATION FORM (Product/Process testing)														
		LO				HI	YOUR SCORE	YOUR SUMS						
1. Abstract	Is the abstract clear?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	5					
2. Introduction														
	Is the Lit Review concise & relevant?	1	2	3	4	5								
	Is the problem clearly explained?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Is there a solid rationale for the project?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Is the significance to the discipline clear?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	30					
3. Objectives														
	Are the research questions/hypotheses clear?	1	2	3	4	5								
	Are the objectives measurable/observable?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	10					
4. Methodology														
	Are the procedures and design appropriate?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Is the product/process an innovative solution to a problem?	1	2	3	4	5								
	Does it specify information needed to complete process (da	1	2	3	4	5								
	Effectiveness of product/process feasibility defined?	2	4	6	8	10								
	Evaluation/Assessment method appropriate?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	35					
5. Applicant's Research Program														
	Does the applicant show how the project fits into the long-range research agenda and the development of a focused line of research?	1	2	3	4	5								
6. Timeline														
	Is it appropriate, including professional outcomes?	1	2	3	4	5								
7. Scholarly Accomplishments														
	Is the potential for scholarly accomplishments from the project made clear?	1	2	3	4	5		0 /	15					
8. External Funding														
	Are potential sources of external funding discussed? (must be at or above \$10,000 in potential funding)	1												
	Does the applicant list the past record in seeking and obtaining external funding?	1						0 /	2					
9. Budget Justification														
	Are budget items clearly justified?	2	4	6	8	10		0 /	10					
	TOTAL SCORE							0 /	107				0%	